

Poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-*co*-Poly(4-vinyl pyridine): Molar Mass Effects on Strong Electrorheological Response

Hasim Yilmaz,¹ Halil Ibrahim Unal²

¹Science Faculty, Chemistry Department, Harran University, Osmanbey, 63190 Sanliurfa, Turkey

²Science Faculty, Chemistry Department, Gazi University, Teknikokullar, 06500 Ankara, Turkey

Received 31 August 2005; accepted 15 October 2005

DOI 10.1002/app.23588

Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: Electrorheological (ER) fluids display remarkable rheological behavior, being able to convert rapidly and repeatedly from a fluid to a solid-like when an external electric field (E) is applied or removed. In this study, electrical and ER properties of poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-*co*-poly(4-vinyl pyridine), poly(Li-HEMA)-*co*-poly(4-VP), copolymeric salts (ionomers) were investigated. For this purpose six ionomers were synthesized with different molar masses. They were then ground-milled for a few hours to obtain micron size ionomers. The particle sizes of the ionomers were determined by dynamic light scattering. Suspensions of ionomers were prepared in silicone oil (SO), at a series of concentrations ($c = 5\text{--}30\%$, m/m). The gravitational stability of suspensions against sedimentation was determined at constant temperature ($T = 25^\circ\text{C}$). Flow times

of the suspensions were measured under no electric field ($E = 0$ kV/mm), and under an external applied electric field ($E \neq 0$ kV/mm) strengths and a strong ER activities were observed for all the poly(Li-HEMA)-*co*-poly(4-VP)/SO suspensions. Further, the effects of suspension concentration, mole ratios of poly(HEMA) and poly(4-VP), and the overall molar mass of the copolymers, shear rate, electric field strength, frequency, promoter, and temperature onto ER activities of ionomer suspensions were investigated. © 2006 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. *J Appl Polym Sci* 101: 1065–1074, 2006

Key words: electrorheological fluids; poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-*co*-poly(4-vinyl pyridine); ionomers; molar mass; shear stress

INTRODUCTION

Electrorheological (ER) fluids are suspensions composed of ionomer solid particles dispersed in insulating liquids, which show abrupt changes of rheological properties under the stimulation of an external electric field. The wide potential of ER fluids in industrial applications have attracted much attention, and a great deal of research activities has been carried out in this area.^{1,2} However, as have been usually recognized, the lack of ER fluids with strong ER effect has become the bottleneck of the development of ER technology, which includes materials design, preparation and characterization, application establishment, and controlling.³ ER fluids have attracted much industrial attention because of their possible use in devices such as valves, dampers, clutches, brakes, and robotic ac-

tuators.^{4,5} Industrially desired shear stress of ER fluids is usually reported to be not less than 5 kPa under low shear rates.⁶

We have recently reported the preparation of ER active suspensions composed of poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)/silicone oil (SO) system, which shows a good ER response with a $\tau = 13.75$ kPa shear stress.⁷ To further enhance the ER properties of this system we prepared a new series of copolymeric ionomers: poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)-*co*-poly(4-vinyl pyridine), and reported the synthesis, characterization, and ionomer preparation in our earlier article.⁸ For this ER active ionomer suspensions, the high dielectric constant (1866) and conductivity ratios ($7.28 \times 10^{-7} \text{ Sm}^{-1}$) between particles and SO have been considered to be responsible for the strong ER effect ($\tau = 22.4$ kPa).

However, to prepare ER fluids with predictable ER strength, factors affecting the ER response should be properly interpreted. As is well known, ER effect is influenced by many factors: such as dielectric properties of particles and insulating oils, external electric field strengths, particle concentration, particle size, environmental temperature, promoter, and frequency.^{9,10} Different models have been developed to describe the concentration effect.^{11,12} The polarization model describes that shear stress of ER fluids is proportional to the concen-

Correspondence to: H. I. Unal (hiunal@gazi.edu.tr).

Contract grant sponsor: Turkish State Planning Organization; contract grant number: 2001 K 120580.

Contract grant sponsor: Gazi University; contract grant number: FEF 05/1999–08.

Contract grant sponsor: Harran University; contract grant number: 187.




Figure 1 The particle size distribution histogram for COP6Li.

tration of the particles. However, among these factors, molar mass of the dispersed polymeric particles is one of the most important factors that greatly affect the shear stress and sedimentation stability of ER suspensions. Although there are several reports of ER fluids with high shear stresses,^{13–15} the molar mass effect on ER phenomenon has not been quantitatively discussed yet.

In this study, mole ratios of poly(Li-HEMA) and poly(4-VP) units, the overall molar mass of the copolymeric ionomers and particle concentration effects on ER fluids with strong ER response has been studied. The copolymeric ionomers [poly(Li-HEMA)-*co*-poly(4-VP)] have been coded with letters COP1Li-COP6Li according to their synthesis order.⁶

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Poly(Li-HEMA)-*co*-poly(4-VP) was synthesized by free radical polymerization technique using $K_2S_2O_8$ as an initiator. The molar masses of the copolymers were determined by GPC, viscometry, and end group analysis techniques. Details of synthesis, characterization, and ionomers preparation were given in our previous article.⁶

Particle size measurements

The average diameters of particles of COP1Li-COP6Li ionomers were determined by Fraunhofer scattering using a Malvern Mastersizer E, version 1.2b particle size analyzer. The samples were dispersed in distilled water and stirred at a constant temperature of 25°C. The data collected were evaluated according to Fraunhofer diffraction theory by the Malvern software computer.¹⁶ From these measurements, $d_{50} = 8.0\text{--}25\ \mu\text{m}$ average particle sizes were determined for the ionomers. In this article, just $d_{50} = 8.0\ \mu\text{m}$ average particle

sized ionomers were studied. The particle size distribution histogram just for COP6Li is given in Figure 1.

Preparation of suspensions

The base fluid was silicone oil (SO) provided with a specific gravity of $0.97\ \text{g/cm}^3$, a dielectric constant of 2.61, and a viscosity of 150 mPa s at 25°C. Prior to mixing with SO, all the ionomer particles were dried at 150°C for 24 h and SO at 110°C for overnight to remove any moisture present in a vacuum oven ($P = 15\ \text{mmHg}$). Ionomer/SO suspensions were then prepared at a series of concentrations ($c = 5\text{--}30\%$, m/m).

Determination of colloidal stability of ionomers

The gravitational stability of suspensions ($c = 5\text{--}30\%$, m/m) against sedimentation was determined at constant temperature (25°C). Glass tubes containing each concentration of the suspensions were immersed into a constant temperature water bath and formation of first precipitates at the bottom of the tubes was taken to be the indication of colloidal instability.

Electrorheometry

Rheological experiments were carried out on the suspensions for the experimental determination of flow behavior and viscoelastic material properties, which influence processing technology and polymers stability and consistency. Flow rate measurements of ionomers/SO system were carried out between two parallel plate brass electrodes. The gap between the electrodes was 0.5 cm, the width of the electrodes was 1.0 cm, and the height of suspension on the electrodes was 5.0 cm. During the measurements these electrodes were connected to a high voltage dc electric source (0–12.5 kV with 0.5 kV increments, Fug Electronic HCL-14, Germany) and a digital voltmeter.

At the beginning of the experiment, the electrodes were dipped into a vessel containing the ER suspension, and after a few seconds the vessel was removed and the flow time for complete drainage was measured using a digital stop-watch ($E = 0.0$ kV/mm). At the second stage, the electrodes were again dipped into the same vessel containing the ER fluid and subjected to an external high voltage ($E \neq 0.0$ kV/mm). After several seconds the vessel was removed and the flow time for complete drainage of the liquid between the electrodes was measured under the applied electric field. This procedure was repeated for each ER ionomer suspension sample under various external electric field strengths ($E = 0.5$ – 2.0 kV/mm with 0.5 kV/mm increments). The flow rate measurements were undertaken at ambient temperature.

ER properties of the ionomer suspensions were tested by Thermo-Haake RS600 rheo-stress electro-rheometer in controlled stress (CS) mode at a shear rate range of 0.001 – 1500 s^{-1} , using 35-mm plate to plate geometry electrodes with a 1.0 mm gap. All the experiments were carried out at various temperatures ($T = 25$ – $125^\circ C$). The voltage used in these experiments was supplied by an external dc electric field generator (0 – 12.5 kV, with 0.5 kV increments), which enabled resistivity to be created during the measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Colloidal stability of ionomers

When the density of particles is not the same as that of medium, the particles with micron order size will settle down according to the Stoke's law.¹⁷ To solve the traditional problem of particle sedimentation, several researchers have developed different solutions.¹⁸ Density mismatch between dispersed and continuous phase plays an important role in sedimentation stability of an ER fluid. Before ER measurements to be carried out, colloidal stabilities of all the ionomers studied in this work were determined in SO at $25^\circ C$, and results obtained are tabulated in Table I. The

TABLE I
Colloidal Stability^a of Ionomers in Silicone Oil

Ionomer	Colloidal stability (days)						SD
	5 ^b	10	15	20	25	30	
COP1Li	16	14	13	11	10	9	± 0.34
COP2Li	15	13	12	10	9	8	± 0.34
COP3Li	15	12	11	11	10	7	± 0.90
COP4Li	17	15	14	11	10	9	± 0.53
COP5Li	18	16	15	13	13	11	± 0.49
COP6Li	19	17	16	14	13	12	± 0.34

^a Determined at $25^\circ C$.

^b 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 are the concentrations (mol/mol) in %.




Figure 2 Sedimentation ratios of ionomers. ($c = 20\%$, m/m, $T = 25^\circ C$).

sedimentation ratios of ionomer/SO systems are also given in Figure 1 for $c = 20\%$ suspension concentrations.

As seen from the table, colloidal stabilities of suspensions were increased with decreasing particle concentration. Maximum colloidal stability was found to be 19 days for COP6Li/SO suspension at $c = 5\%$ concentration. It was observed from Table I and Figure 2 that, as the mole ratio of poly(HEMA) increases, colloidal stabilities and sedimentation ratios of the ionomers also increase. This may be attributed to the formation of micellar aggregates in SO and also an interaction between ionic part of the ionomer particles and SO (Fig. 3). This 19-days sedimentation stability was low when compared to the sedimentation stability of poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)/SO system, which showed a maximum of 60 days gravita-




Figure 3 Illustration of micellar aggregates formed by poly(Li-HEMA)-co-poly(4-VP).




Figure 4 The change of flow times with electric field strength. $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$, $c = 30\%$.

tional stability.⁵ This is because of the molar mass difference between poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) and poly(Li-HEMA)-*co*-poly(4-VP), which were 6.3×10^3 g/mol⁵ and 135×10^3 g/mol, respectively. These expected results are in accordance with the earlier studies reported in the literature.^{19,20}

Electrorheology

Results obtained from parallel plate electrodes

Flow times of ionomer suspensions, prepared in SO at the concentration range of $c = 5\text{--}30$ (% m/m), measured between the parallel plate brass electrodes at zero applied field ($E = 0$ kV/mm) and under various applied electric field strengths ($E = 0\text{--}1.8$ kV/mm) are shown in Figure 4.

As seen from the figure, first gradual increase in flow time was started at $E = 0.7$ kV/mm and continued until $E = 1.6$ kV/mm. After $E = 1.6$ kV/mm threshold energy (E_t) was supplied to the suspensions, a very sharp increase at the flow times was observed. This increase was highest for COP6Li/SO suspensions (for $E = 1.8$ kV/mm, $t = 105$ s).

The flow times illustrated in Figure 4 are the maximum flow times, which could be measured under $E = 1.8$ kV/mm. When E was further increased, flow of the liquid between the electrodes was completely stopped and the measurement could not be made even after several hours waiting.

The maximum flow times of ionomer suspensions were increased with increasing E and ionomer's molar mass and varied in the following order: COP6Li (105 s) > COP5Li (100 s) > COP4Li (94 s) > COP1Li (85 s) > COP2Li (80 s) > COP3Li (76 s). The observed de-

pendence of flow time on external electric field strength is also related to the changes in poly(Li-HEMA) mole ratio of the copolymeric ionomers. As the mole ratio of poly(Li-HEMA) increases, possible interactions between ionomers particles and SO increases because of applied E . Similar behavior was reported for poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate),⁵ poly(Li-2-acrylamido-2-methyl propane sulfonic acid),⁷ and poly(styryllithium)-block-polyisoprene copolymeric salt suspensions in SO.²¹

Results obtained from electrorheometer

Effect of particle concentration

Figure 5 shows the influence of particle concentration and composition of copolymers on the ER effect at field strength of $E = 2.0$ kV/mm. With the application of $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, the viscosities of the ionomer suspensions increased continuously for all the ionomers until $c = 20\%$ and then leveled off. The particle concentration and composition dependencies indicate that the ER activities of ionomers become higher with an increasing poly(HEMA) mole ratio (see Table II). This trend is due to the polarization forces acting between the ionomeric particles.

The effect of ionomers concentration on the shear stress is given in Figure 6. As reflected from the figure, the ER activity of ionomers increases with increasing ionomer concentration, poly(HEMA) mole ratio, and the overall molar mass of the ionomers. For COP6Li/SO system, we obtained $\tau = 22.4$ kPa shear stress (for $c = 30\%$, m/m), which is well above the industrially desired ($\tau \geq 5$ kPa) ER response.³ Under




Figure 5 The effect of concentration of the particles in SO on the electric field viscosity. $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ s⁻¹, $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$.

TABLE II
Molar Mass Characteristics of Copolymers

Copolymer	M(10^{-3} g/mol)			Poly(HEMA)/poly(4-VP) mole ratio
	Poly(HEMA) ^a	Copolymers ^b	Poly(4-VP) ^c	
COP1	37	94	57	0.59
COP2	35	100	65	0.44
COP3	32	102	70	0.35
COP4	44	126	82	1.33
COP5	57	123	66	1.77
COP6	64	135	71	2.22

^a Obtained from end group analysis. ^b Obtained from GPC. ^c Calculated from the difference between *a* and *b*.

an external applied E , organization of ionomer particles take place in SO. The formation of particle fibers in poly(HEMA) units is especially responsible for a marked ER effect. In our pioneer study, for poly(Li-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)/SO system we observed $\tau = 13.75$ kPa shear stress under the same conditions. We believe that the presence of 4-VP units in the copolymers also enhanced the magnitude of shear stress. Similar behavior was observed in the studies of polyaniline/SO suspensions by Lengalova et al., but they have reported maximum $\tau = 158$ Pa under $E = 2.0$ kV/mm.²²

Effect of electric field strength on viscosity

Electric field strength (E) against electric field viscosity (η_E) (shear viscosity observed under an external applied electric field) obtained at $c = 20\%$ particle concentration is shown in Figure 7. The η_E of ionomers suspensions increased with increasing E , poly(HEMA)

mole ratio, and the ionomers overall molar mass, and varied in the following order: COP6Li (278 Pa s) > COP5Li (266 Pa s) > COP4Li (254 Pa s) > COP1Li (249 Pa s) > COP2Li (239 Pa s) > COP3Li (234 Pa s). The η_E of COP6Li/SO system is higher than all the other ionomers, as expected. Increase in the mole ratio of poly(Li-HEMA) in copolymers structure obviously enhanced polarizability of the ionomers in SO and does the ER activity.

When E was applied to the suspensions, the polarization forces caused the aggregation of particles and fibril (or chain) formation between the plates. This fibrillar structure is across the direction of the shear field and leads to an increased viscosity. Under an applied shearing force, the ionomeric particles are also acted on by the effects of viscous forces, which is due to the hydrodynamic interactions of particles in the suspension.

The formation of particle chain structures in the electric field is reflected by the dependence of viscos-




Figure 6 Effect of particle concentration on shear stress. $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ s⁻¹, $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$.




Figure 7 The change of viscosity with electric field strength. $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$, $c = 20\%$, $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ s⁻¹.




Figure 8 Change of shear stress of ionomers under different dc electric fields. $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$, $c = 20\%$, $\dot{\gamma} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

ity on E . Thus, when E was increased, the apparent viscosity increased at least up to electrical saturation or electrical breakdown of the suspension.

Change of shear stress with electric field strength

Figure 8 represents the change of shear stress (τ) with E , which was obtained at constant suspension concentration ($c = 20\%$), shear rate ($\dot{\gamma} = 1.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and temperature ($T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$). As reflected from the graph, τ gradually increases with increasing E up to $E = 1.0 \text{ kV/mm}$ and then a sharp increase is observed, which indicates that ER suspension become more stable under stronger applied E . As expected, the highest and the lowest τ values were obtained for COP6Li/SO ($\tau = 19.5 \text{ kPa}$) and COP3Li/SO ($\tau = 17.7 \text{ kPa}$) suspensions, respectively. These observations are consistent with the results reported by Unal for poly(Li-hydroxyethyl methacrylate),⁵ by Yavuz and Unal for polyisoprene-*co*-poly(Li-*tert*-butyl methacrylate) ionomer²³ and poly(Li-*tert*-butyl methacrylate) suspensions.²⁴

Effects of molar mass and polarization forces on ER activity

Polymeric ER fluids can be classified in two categories. The first is materials having conjugated π bonds. Two methods are generally used to control the conductivity of the conjugated material: doping a metallic ion or a metallic oxide. This type of materials could be highly polarized under an external E , thus they show a large dielectric constant. The second category is materials having a highly polarizable group on the molecular

chain, such as hydroxyl, cyano, or amido, etc.³ Poly(Li-HEMA)-*co*-poly(4-VP) copolymers belong to this group. These copolymers are polyelectrolyte, which have high molar mass and high charge density.

The effect of molar mass of ionomers on the shear stress (or ER activity) of suspensions was studied at constant conditions ($\dot{\gamma} = 1.0 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$, $E = 2.0 \text{ kV/mm}$). It is seen from Figure 9 that, shear stress of ionomers/SO system increases with increasing overall molar mass of the ionomeric copolymer. This is due to the increased dielectric property [COP3Li (1340) < COP2Li (1423) < COP1Li (1512) < COP4Li (1617) < COP5Li (1714) < COP6Li(1866),⁶ which result with enhanced ER response.

The shear stress increase is almost the same for COP1Li/SO(COP3Li/SO system under $E = 2 \text{ kV/mm}$ and we obtained $\tau = 18.1 \text{ kPa}$. When the mole ratio of poly(HEMA-Li)/poly(4-VP) were increased from 0.35 to 2.22, as reflected from Table II, first a sharp and then a gradual increase in shear stress were observed, and we measured $\tau = 1.84 \text{ kPa}$ shear stress increase. This is advantageous for ER active materials, because moderate polarization rate do maintain stable interaction or chain-like structure under electric field and shearing fields.²⁵

When external electric field was applied to the ionomers suspensions, polarization forces caused aggregation of the particles, which resulted with the formation of fibrillar structures between the upper and lower plates. The relation of the magnitude of polarization forces (F), with viscosity of suspension (η_s), the average shear rate ($\dot{\gamma}$), and radius of particle (r), can be written as:

$$F = 6\pi\eta_s r^6 \dot{\gamma} \quad (1)$$




Figure 9 Change of shear stress with molar mass. $T = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$, $E = 2.0 \text{ kV/mm}$, $c = 20\%$, $\dot{\gamma} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$.




Figure 10 Change in electric field viscosity and polarization forces with suspension concentration. $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, $\dot{\gamma} = 1$ s⁻¹, $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$.

As seen from Figure 10, magnitude of polarization forces increases with increasing particle concentration, poly(HEMA) mole ratio in the copolymers, and the overall molar mass of the ionomers. This is because that, as the particle concentration and poly(HEMA) mole ratio increases, the amount of polarizable Li⁺ ions increase in the ionomer particles. As a result, the polarization forces between ionomer particles increases, and so does the suspension's ER effect. The highest polarization force was obtained for COP6Li/SO system as $F = 78 \times 10^{-21}$ N, which has the highest poly(HEMA) mole ratio. Effects of polarization forces on ER activity of various suspensions are reported in the literature.^{19,26}

Effect of shear rate and shear stress on electric field viscosity

Figure 11 shows the effect of shear rate and shear stress on electric field viscosity just for COP6Li/SO system, at constant conditions ($c = 25$ wt %, $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$, $E = 2.0$ kV/mm). The electric field induced viscosity of suspensions was found to sharply decrease with increasing shear rate, giving a typical curve of shear thinning non-Newtonian viscoelastic behavior. As reflected from the graph, shear stress was increased with increasing shear rate and shows a typical non-Newtonian behavior. Similar trends were determined for COP1Li-COP5Li/SO systems. We observed the yield stress values (τ_0 , in kPa) as following: COP3Li (5.73) < COP2Li (5.78) < COP1Li (5.81) < COP4Li (5.85) < COP5Li (5.87) < COP6Li (5.92), which are obtained by extrapolating the shear rate–shear stress data to the

zero-shear rate. These characteristic behaviors of the ER suspension are related to the internal particle structure induced by an applied external electric field. Before shearing the ER fluid, the dispersed particles are aligned through the electric field direction making columnar structures, and these structures get stronger at higher electric fields. Similar results were reported for the studies of poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate),⁵ poly(lithium-2-acrylamido-2-methyl propane sulfonic acid),⁷ and calcium carbonate,¹⁸ in which SO was used as continuous phase for the suspensions.

Effect of temperature on ER activity

Figure 12 shows the change in the shear stress of poly(Li-HEMA)-co-poly(4-VP)/SO suspensions under different temperatures at optimum concentration ($c = 20\%$). For this kind of ER fluids, the ER effect is due to the polarization of mobile solid particles subjected to external E . Since the material's polarizability is temperature dependent, the shear stress of ER fluid is also influenced by the environment's temperature.

As reflected from the graph, we obtained a high shear stress ($\tau = 19.52$ kPa) for COP6Li/SO system at $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$ and this τ value slowly decreased with raising temperature and reached to $\tau = 19.12$ kPa at $T = 125^\circ\text{C}$. The loss at the τ of ionomers/SO system for $\Delta T = 100^\circ\text{C}$ temperature change is $\sim \Delta\tau = 0.40$ kPa (i.e., 2%), which is extremely small τ loss in terms of potential high temperature industrial applications. In our pioneer study, for poly(Li-2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate)/SO system, we determined $\Delta\tau = 1.4$ kPa shear stress loss under the same conditions.⁵ This small shear stress drop for poly(Li-HEMA)-co-poly(4-




Figure 11 Change in viscosity and shear stress with shear rate.




Figure 12 Effect of temperature on ER activity. $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, $c = 20\%$, $\dot{\gamma} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

VP)/SO system at high temperature may be attributed to the high polarizability of Li^+ ions in ionomers structure, and also the possible formation of micellar structure in SO by ionomers.

The variability of ER activity with temperature is known to be a major problem with most conventional ER fluids and can limit their high temperature use.^{27,28} Similar behavior was reported by Kimura and Senna for gibbsite/SO suspension system.²⁹

Effect of promoter on ER activity

Most ER suspensions contain additives such as surfactants or activators. The formers are added to improve colloidal stability of the dispersed particles but also to enhance the ER activity, while the presence of the latter is essential for the suspensions to display a significant ER response. The most common ER activator is water, although there are also some other polar substances such as alcohols, ethylene glycol, dimethyl amine, or formamide, which can activate ER suspensions.³⁰ The disadvantages of promoter-activated ER suspensions, so called wet ER fluids, (i.e., a restricted temperature range of operation and increased conductivity), have been overcome by the introduction of essentially promoter-free ER fluids, and classified as dry ER fluids.⁴

To determine the type of our ionomers/SO system, whether dry or wet ER fluid, we added water and ethanol into the suspensions and carried out the ER measurements. We had electrical break down problems with water but obtained successful data with ethanol. In this article, just the results obtained for COP6Li/SO system containing 1000 ppm ethanol is




Figure 13 Effect of promoter on ER activity. Sample: COP6Li/SO suspension ($T = 25^\circ\text{C}$, $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, $c = 20\%$, $\dot{\gamma} = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

presented (Fig. 13). It was observed that, the copolymer system studied in the present work was almost insensitive to the amount of moisture present over the range studied, and may be classified as dry ER fluid. The electric field viscosity of the COP6Li/SO system was increased from $\eta_E = 802$ to $\eta_E = 855$ Pa s after the addition of 1000 ppm ethanol. The most likely explanation is that the inherent polarizability of the ionic cores was sufficient to outweigh the effect of any adsorbed moisture. We observed similar behaviors in our previous studies.^{5,21,31}




Figure 14 Effect of frequency on ER activity. $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$, $E = 2.0$ kV/mm, $c = 20\%$.




Figure 15 Effect of electric field frequency on viscoelastic parameters. (■) G' , (◆) G'' , (▲) $[\eta^*]$, Sample: COP6Li/SO suspension, $T = 25^\circ\text{C}$, $E = 2.0 \text{ kV/mm}$, $c = 20\%$.

Effect of frequency on ER activity

A dc electric field is mostly used to generate a detectable ER effect. Since an ER fluid has a response time of $\sim 1 \text{ ms}$, its viscosity and yield stress are expected to decrease with increasing frequency (f), as it would be unable to catch up with the change of E at high f . Change of ER activity of suspension with frequency at optimum suspension concentration ($c = 20\%$) is shown in Figure 14. We observed that, until a definite value of f , the shear stresses of ionomers/SO systems did not change with increasing f . But sharp decreases of the τ were found to occur, for example, for COP6Li/SO system after $f = 30 \text{ Hz}$. A weakened particle interaction was expected at this point. The large dielectric constant enhancement and proper polarization response in this poly(Li-HEMA)-co-poly(4-VP)/SO ER fluids induce a strong and stable interaction between particles for high ER activity.

Hao³² reported that, the τ decrease corresponds to the decrease of dielectric constant of the whole suspension when f increases. The particle conductivity determines whether the yield stress decrease appears at high or low f . High conductivity particles give an obvious ER effect even in very high f fields, indicating a short response time.

The external stress frequency is an important factor for characterizing the dynamic viscoelastic properties of ER fluids.³³ Figure 15 shows the relationship of electric field ($E = 2.0 \text{ kV/mm}$) induced elasticity modulus (G'), loss modulus (G''), kinematic viscosity $[\eta^*]$, and frequency (f). The setting shear stress for this measurement was $\tau = 10 \text{ Pa}$, which ensures that the measurements are conducted in the small strain region. As seen from the figure, ER activity (electric field induced kinematic viscosity) of ionomers/SO system

decreases with increasing frequency, which may be attributed to the dielectric loss and low polarizability of the ionomer suspensions under high frequency conditions.³¹

CONCLUSIONS

1. Colloidal stability of ionomeric salt in SO was found to be 19 days for COP6Li suspensions at $c = 5\%$ concentration.
2. Flow time of suspensions was observed to increase with increasing electric field strength and suspension concentration. The highest flow time was obtained for COP6Li/SO suspensions as $t = 105 \text{ s}$, $c = 30\%$, and $E = 1.6 \text{ kV/mm}$ conditions.
3. The ER response of all the copolymeric ionomers was found to increase with increasing field strength up to $c = 20\%$ suspension concentration and then decreases.
4. ER activity of ionomer suspensions was observed to increase with increasing field strength and decreasing shear rate.
5. ER activity of ionomeric suspensions was observed to increase with increasing molar mass and mole ratio of poly(HEMA) units.
6. Shear stress of copolymers was found to sharply increase with increasing field strength.
7. It was observed that electric field viscosity of suspensions decreased sharply with increasing shear rate, giving a typical shear-thinning non-Newtonian viscoelastic behavior.
8. It was found that the ionomers/SO system studied in the present work was not sensitive to high temperature and moisture within the limits studied.
9. ER activity of copolymers was found to sharply decrease the applied frequency.

References

1. Coulter, J. P.; Weiss, K. D.; Carlson, J. D. *J Intell Mater Syst Struct* 1993, 4, 248.
2. Weiss, K. D.; Carlson, J. D.; Coulter, J. P. *J Intell Mater Syst Struct* 1993, 4, 13.
3. Hao, T. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 2002, 97, 1.
4. Schulman, Z. P.; Gorodkin, R. G.; Korobko, E. V.; Gleb, V. K. *J Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech* 1981, 8, 29.
5. Tao, R.; Roy, G. D. *Electrorheological Fluids: Mechanisms, Properties, Technology and Applications*; World Scientific: London, 1994.
6. Block, H.; Kelley, J.; Qin, A.; Watson, T. *Langmuir* 1990, 6, 6.
7. Unal, H. I.; Agirbas, O.; Yilmaz, H. *Colloids Surf A* 2006, 274, 77.
8. Yilmaz, H.; Unal, H. I. *J Appl Polym Sci* 2006, 99, 3540.
9. Yilmaz, H.; Unal, H. I. *J Appl Polym Sci* 2002, 86, 1107.
10. Conrad, H. *MRS Bull* 1998, 23, 35.
11. Halsey, T. C.; Toor, W. *Phys Rev Lett* 1990, 65, 2820.
12. Klingenberg, D. J.; Zukoski, C. F. *Langmuir* 1990, 6, 15.
13. Dong, P.; Wang, C.; Zhao, S. *Fuel* 2005, 84, 685.

14. Tian, Y.; Meng, Y.; Wen, S. *Mater Lett* 2001, 50, 120.
15. Kawakami, T.; Aizawa, R.; Konishi, M.; Asako, Y. *Int J Mod Phys B* 1999, 13, 1721.
16. German, R. M. *Powder Metallurgy Science; Metal Powder Industries Federation: Princeton, NJ, 1994; p 28.*
17. Uemura, T.; Minagawa, K.; Takimoto, J. *J Chem Soc Faraday Trans* 1995, 91, 1051.
18. Rejon, L.; Ramirez, A.; Paz, F.; Goycoolea, F. M.; Valdez, M. A. *Carbohydr Polym* 2002, 48, 413.
19. Zhao, X. P.; Duan, X. *Mater Lett* 2002, 54, 348.
20. Yilmaz, H.; Unal, H. I.; Yavuz, M. *Colloid J* 2005, 67, 236.
21. Yavuz, M.; Unal, H. I.; Yildirim, Y. *Turk J Chem* 2001, 25, 19.
22. Lengalova, A.; Pavlinek, V.; Saha, P.; Quadrat, D.; Kitano, T.; Stejskal, J. *Eur Polym J* 2003, 39, 641.
23. Yavuz, M.; Unal, H. I. *J Appl Polym Sci* 2004, 91, 1822.
24. Yavuz, M.; Unal, H. I. *Turk J Chem* 2004, 28, 587.
25. Ikzaki, F.; Kawai, A.; Uchida, K.; Kawakami, T.; Edmura, K.; Sakurai, K.; Anzai, H.; Asako, Y. *J Phys D: Appl Phys* 1998, 31, 336.
26. Wu, S.; Shen, J. *J Appl Polym Sci* 1996, 60, 2159.
27. Tanaka, K.; Oiwa, Y. *Polym J* 1998, 30, 171.
28. Hao, T.; Chen, Y.; Xu, Z.; Xu, Y.; Huang, Y. *Chin J Polym Sci* 1994, 12, 97.
29. Kimura, M.; Senna, M. *Int J Inorg Mater* 2001, 3, 363.
30. Block, H.; Kelly, J. P. *J Phys D: Appl Phys* 1988, 21, 1661.
31. Unal, H. I.; Yavuz, M.; Yilmaz, H. *Gazi Univ J Sci* 2001, 14, 999.
32. Hao, T. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 1998, 206, 240.
33. Chin, B. D.; Lee, Y. S.; Park, O. O. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 1998, 201, 172.